

SOME PROPERTIES OF A GENERALIZED TYPE-1 DIRICHLET DISTRIBUTION

E.V. Mayamol

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study properties of some new generalizations of Dirichlet densities. Johann Peter Gustav Lejeune Dirichlet in (1839) evaluated an integral which later gave rise to the well-known probability density which now bears his name. Wilks (1962) was the first to use the terminology “Dirichlet Distributions” for random variables which have the density function in (1). Dirichlet distribution is the generalization of beta distribution. Standard real type-1 and type-2 beta distributions are extended to standard type-1 and type-2 Dirichlet distributions. These Dirichlet distributions are further extended in various directions. The standard Dirichlet distribution can be found in textbooks on mathematical statistics. The standard real type-1 Dirichlet density with parameters $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k; \alpha_{k+1})$ is given by

$$f_1(x_1, \dots, x_k) = c_k \begin{cases} x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots x_k^{\alpha_k-1} (1-x_1-\dots-x_k)^{\alpha_{k+1}-1} & \text{for } x_i \geq 0, i=1, \dots, k, \\ & x_1 + \dots + x_k \leq 1, \alpha_j > 0, j=1, \dots, k+1 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In statistical problems the parameters are real and hence the parameters are assumed to be real. But the integrals and corresponding results will hold for complex parameters. In that case, for example $\alpha_j > 0$ is to be replaced by $\Re(\alpha_j) > 0$ where $\Re(\cdot)$ denotes the real part of (\cdot) . Complex parameters are needed if inverse Mellin transform is used to establish the uniqueness of the corresponding densities.

The standard real type-2 Dirichlet density with parameters $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k; \alpha_{k+1})$ is given by

$$f_2(x_1, \dots, x_k) = c_k \begin{cases} x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots x_k^{\alpha_k-1} (1+x_1+\dots+x_k)^{-(\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_{k+1})} & \text{for } 0 \leq x_j < \infty, \\ & i=1, \dots, k, \alpha_j > 0, j=1, \dots, k+1 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

This distribution is also known as inverted Dirichlet distribution. In (1) and (2) the normalizing constant c_k is the same as the one given in (3), and it is evaluated by integrating out variables one at a time.

$$c_k^{-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_{k+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k+1})}, \quad \alpha_j > 0, j=1, \dots, k+1. \quad (3)$$

The Dirichlet density is used in a variety of contexts. Here we discuss mostly the type-1 Dirichlet density and its generalizations and hence when we state Dirichlet density it will mean a type-1 Dirichlet density. It has found applications in order statistics, reliability and survival analysis, Bayesian analysis etc. Use of Dirichlet distribution for approximating multinomial probabilities may be seen from Johnson (1960). Mosimann (1962, 1963) obtained several characterizations of Dirichlet density and utilized this distribution as the prior for the parameters of the multinomial and negative multinomial distributions. Spiegelhalter *et al.* (1994) used Dirichlet density as a prior model to study the frequencies of congenital heart disease. Applications of Dirichlet distribution in modeling the buying behavior was discussed by Goodhardt, Ehrenberg and Chatfield (1984). Its application in the distribution of sparse and crowded cells in occupancy models were considered by Sobel and Uppuluri (1974). Lange (1995) used Dirichlet distribution to model the contributions from different ancestral populations in computing forensic match probabilities. Applications of Dirichlet models in random division and other geometrical probability problems may be seen from Mathai (1999). Several applications involving linear combinations of the components of a Dirichlet random vector are pointed out by Provost and Cheong (2000). Generalized Dirichlet in Bayesian analysis may be seen from Wong (1998).

In this paper, Section 2 briefly introduces different generalized models of the Dirichlet density. In Section 3, we discuss different structural representations of x_1 in one of the generalized Dirichlet models and its applications to geometrical probability problems are pointed out. In Section 4, multiple regression and Bayesian estimates are given.

2. GENERALIZATIONS OF THE DIRICHLET MODEL

There are different generalizations of the Dirichlet distributions in the literature, some of them are reviewed here. Connor and Mosimann (1969) introduced a generalization of the Dirichlet density based on the neutrality principle of pro-

portions. Let (x_1, \dots, x_k) be the vector of proportions and $x_1, x_2/(1-x_1), \dots, (x_k/(1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1}))$ be independently beta distributed with parameters (α_i, β_i) , $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then (x_1, \dots, x_k) has the joint density function,

$$g_1(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k [B(\alpha_i, \beta_i)]^{-1} \left[x_i^{\alpha_i-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j \right)^{\beta_i - (\alpha_i + \beta_i)} \right] \right\} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right]^{\beta_k - 1} \quad (4)$$

where

$$B(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_i)\Gamma(\beta_i)}{\Gamma(\alpha_i + \beta_i)}, \quad \alpha_i > 0, \beta_i > 0.$$

Wong (1998) studied this generalized Dirichlet distribution (4) and showed that it has a more general covariance function than the Dirichlet distribution. As well as the Dirichlet distribution, Wong has also shown that the generalized Dirichlet distribution (4) is conjugate to multinomial sampling. It is of interest to note that the construction (4) also has interesting applications in Bayesian non-parametric inference; see e.g. Ishwaran and James (2001).

In some problems in reliability and survival analysis, the need for considering sums of Dirichlet variables arises. Hence, Mathai (2003) introduced a general multivariate density of the following form:

$$g_2(x_1, \dots, x_k) = C_k x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots x_k^{\alpha_k-1} (1-x_1)^{\beta_1} (1-x_1-x_2)^{\beta_2} \dots \times (1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1})^{\beta_k} (1-x_1-\dots-x_k)^{\alpha_{k+1}+\beta_k-1} \quad (5)$$

for $0 \leq x_j \leq 1, 0 \leq x_j \leq 1-x_1-\dots-x_{j-1}, j = 2, \dots, k-1, k, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, k+1, \alpha_{j+1} + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + \beta_j + \dots + \beta_k > 0, j = 1, \dots, k$, and $g_2(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$ elsewhere.

Here

$$C_k^{-1} = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_j)\Gamma(\alpha_{j+1} + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + \beta_j + \dots + \beta_k)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + \beta_j + \dots + \beta_k)}.$$

The densities in (4) and (5) can be shown to be identical.

Here we consider a generalization of the Dirichlet density to the following form:

$$f_3(x_1, \dots, x_k) = C_k^* x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots x_k^{\alpha_k-1} (x_1+x_2)^{\beta_2} \dots (x_1+\dots+x_k)^{\beta_k} \times (1-x_1-\dots-x_k)^{\alpha_{k+1}-1} \quad (6)$$

for $0 < x_i < 1, i = 1, \dots, k, 0 < x_1 + \dots + x_k < 1$. The normalizing constant C_k^* can

be obtained by changing the variables to $u_1 = x_1, u_2 = x_1 + x_2, \dots, u_k = x_1 + \dots + x_k$ and integrating variables successively. We can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_k^*} &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_{k+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \beta_2)} \dots \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{k-1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{k-1})} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for $\alpha_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, k+1$, $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, k$. When $\beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$ we have the Dirichlet density. A sample of the surface for $k=2$ is given in figure 1.




Figure 1 – Generalized Dirichlet density with $k=2$, $\alpha_1=5$, $\alpha_2=3$, $\alpha_3=2$, $\beta_2=2$.

Here the proposed work is based on the generalized model given in (6).

3. STRUCTURAL REPRESENTATIONS OF x_1

This section contains structural representations of x_1 when (x_1, \dots, x_k) has the generalized Dirichlet density in (6). Let us consider the joint product moment for some arbitrary (t_1, \dots, t_k) when (x_1, \dots, x_k) has the joint density in (6). This can be easily seen to be the following, which can be written down by observing the normalizing constant in (7).

$$\begin{aligned}
E(x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \dots x_k^{t_k}) &= C_k^* \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + t_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_k + t_k) \Gamma(\alpha_{k+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + t_1 + t_2)} \right. \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 + t_1 + t_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \beta_2 + t_1 + t_2 + t_3)} \dots \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{k-1} + t_1 + \dots + t_{k-1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{k-1} + t_1 + \dots + t_k)} \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k + t_1 + \dots + t_k)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k + t_1 + \dots + t_k)} \right\} \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha_j + t_j > 0$, $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j + t_1 + \dots + t_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, where C_k^* is the same quantity appearing in (7).

In (8) put $t_1 = b$ and $t_2 = t_3 = \dots = t_k = 0$ then we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
E(x_1^b) &= \prod_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j + b)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{j+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{j+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j + b)} \right\} \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

This is the b^{th} moment of x_1 . The random variable x_1 has some interesting structural properties, that are of interest in many situations. Note that (9) is nothing but the b^{th} moment of a product of independent type-1 beta random variables. That is $E(x_1^b) = E(v_1^b)E(v_2^b) \dots E(v_k^b)$, where v_j is a type-1 beta variable with parameters $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j, \alpha_{j+1})$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Hence it is worth studying x_1 further. Theorems 1 and 2 show the transformations needed for connecting a set of type-2 beta random variables to x_1 . A type-2 beta density is the following:

$$g_3(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1+x)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}, & 0 \leq x < \infty, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. Let (x_1, \dots, x_k) have a generalized Dirichlet distribution (6) and $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_k$ be k independently distributed type-2 beta random variables with parameters $(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j, \alpha_{j+1})$, $j = 1, \dots, k$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1 &= \frac{\tilde{z}_1}{1 + \tilde{z}_1} \\
y_2 &= \frac{\tilde{z}_2}{1 + \tilde{z}_2} \\
&\vdots \\
y_k &= \frac{\tilde{z}_k}{1 + \tilde{z}_k}
\end{aligned}$$

then we can write x_1 as the product of y_1, \dots, y_k in terms of the type-2 beta variables $\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_k$ and further, y_1, \dots, y_k are independently distributed type-1 beta random variables with parameters $(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j, \alpha_{j+1})$, $j=1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Let us consider the joint moments $E((y_1, \dots, y_k)^b)$ for an arbitrary b . Since $\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_k$ are independently distributed we can write

$$E((y_1 \dots y_k)^b) = E\left[\prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\tilde{z}_j}{1 + \tilde{z}_j}\right)^b\right].$$

Now, integrating out over the joint density of $\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[\prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\tilde{z}_j}{1 + \tilde{z}_j}\right)^b\right] &= \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j)}{\Gamma(\alpha_{j+1})\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j)} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\tilde{z}_j}{1 + \tilde{z}_j}\right)^b \frac{\tilde{z}_j^{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j) - 1}}{(1 + \tilde{z}_j)^{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j)}} d\tilde{z}_j, \text{ for } \alpha_{j+1} > 0, \\
&\quad j=1, \dots, k, \quad \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j > 0, j=2, \dots, k \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j + b)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j+1} + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j + b)}
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

= gamma product in (9).

Observe from the gamma product in (10) that it is of the form $E(y_1^b)E(y_2^b)\dots E(y_k^b)$ where y_1, \dots, y_k are type-1 beta random variables with

parameters $(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j, \alpha_{j+1}), j = 1, \dots, k$. Hence x_1 has a structural representation of the form $x_1 = y_1 \dots y_k$ where y_1, \dots, y_k are type-1 beta random variables.

Theorem 2. Let (x_1, \dots, x_k) have a generalized Dirichlet distribution (6) and z_1, \dots, z_k be k independently distributed type-2 beta random variables with parameters $(\alpha_{j+1}, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j), j = 1, \dots, k$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \frac{1}{1 + z_1} \\ y_2 &= \frac{1}{1 + z_2} \\ &\vdots \\ y_k &= \frac{1}{1 + z_k} \end{aligned}$$

then we can write x_1 as the product of y_1, \dots, y_k in terms of the type-2 beta variables z_1, \dots, z_k and further, y_1, \dots, y_k are independently distributed type-1 beta random variables with parameters $(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j, \alpha_{j+1}), j=1, \dots, k$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of theorem 1.

Thomas and George (2004) considered a ‘‘short memory property’’. Let x_1, \dots, x_k be such that $0 < x_i < 1, i = 1, \dots, k, 0 < x_1 + \dots + x_k < 1$. and let

$$y_1 = \frac{x_1}{x_1 + x_2}, y_2 = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)}, \dots, y_{k-1} = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1}}{(x_1 + \dots + x_k)}, y_k = x_1 + \dots + x_k \quad (11)$$

be independently distributed. This will be called short memory property. It is shown in Thomas and George (2004) that if y_1, \dots, y_k are independently distributed beta variables with parameters $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_3), \dots, (\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k, \alpha_{k+1})$ respectively, then the joint density of (x_1, \dots, x_k) is that given in (6). Thomas and Thannippara (2008) established a connection of the Λ criterion for sphericity test to this generalized Dirichlet model.

3.1. Application to Geometrical Probability

We see that x_1 can be represented as the product of type-1 beta random variables. Now we try to find a geometrical interpretation for x_1 and its applications. Let $X_j, j = 1 \dots p$, be an ordered set of random points in the Euclidean n -space $R^n, n \geq p$. Let O denote the origin of a rectangular co-ordinate system. Now the $1 \times n$ vector X_j can be considered as a point in R^n . If X_1, \dots, X_p are linearly independent then the convex hull generated by these p -points almost surely determines a p -parallelotope in R^n with the sides $\overline{OX_1}, \dots, \overline{OX_p}$. The random volume or p -content $\nabla_{p,n}$ of this random p -parallelotope is given by

$$\nabla_{p,n} = |XX'|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_p \end{pmatrix}$ is a matrix of order $p \times n$, X' is the transpose of X and

$|(\cdot)|$ denotes the determinant of (\cdot) .

Let the joint distribution of the elements in the real $p \times n, n \geq p$, matrix X have an absolutely continuous distribution with the density function $f(X)$ which can be expressed as the function of XX' . Let

$$f(X) = g(XX') \tag{12}$$

where $g(XX') > 0$ with probability 1 on the support of XX' . Let the rows of X be linearly independent so that X is of full rank p . If the density of X can be expressed as in (12) then X has a spherically symmetric distribution. The density of a spherically symmetric distribution remains invariant under orthogonal transformations, (see Mathai, 1999, 1997). Then, writing $S = XX'$ we have the following; where $f(X)$ is a density and its total integral is 1. We can convert X into S and a semi orthogonal matrix, (see Mathai, 1997) then the last step in the following line will follow.

$$1 = \int_X f(X) dX = \int_X g(S) dX = \frac{\pi^{\frac{np}{2}}}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \int_{S=S^T>0} |S|^{\frac{n-p+1}{2}} g(S) dS .$$

Now let us consider the following results that are given in Mathai (1999). Let the $p \times n, n \geq p$ real random matrix X of full rank p have the density

$$f_1(X) = C_1 |XX'|^\alpha e^{-\text{tr}(BXX')} \quad \text{for } XX' > 0, B = B' > 0 \quad \Re(\alpha) > -1, \quad (13)$$

which is the density of a real rectangular matrix-variate gamma, where C_1 is the normalizing constant and B is a constant positive definite parametric matrix of order $p \times p$.

Then

$$E_n[|S_X|^b] = |B|^{-b} \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\alpha + \frac{n}{2} + b\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\alpha + \frac{n}{2}\right)}, \quad b > -\frac{n}{2} + \frac{p-1}{2} - \alpha \quad \text{with } S_X = XX'$$

where the real matrix-variate gamma function is given by

$$\Gamma_p(\alpha) = \pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{4}} \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \dots \Gamma\left(\alpha - \frac{p-1}{2}\right), \quad \Re(\alpha) > \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

Here the variable S_X has a real matrix-variate density. A general matrix-variate gamma density has the following form

$$f(S_X) = \frac{|B|^\alpha}{\Gamma_p(\alpha)} |S_X|^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} e^{-\text{tr}(BS_X)}, \quad (14)$$

$S_X = S_X' > 0, B = B' > 0, \Re(\alpha) > \frac{p-1}{2}$, where the matrix-variate gamma variable is the $p \times p$ real symmetric positive definite matrix S_X and the real $p \times p$ positive definite matrix B is a constant parameter matrix, α is a scalar parameter and $\Gamma_p(\alpha)$ is the real matrix-variate gamma function. When we put $\alpha = \frac{p+1}{2}$

and $B = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}$ in (14), then the variable S_X has Wishart density with n degrees of freedom.

$$f(S_X) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{np}{2}} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) |\Sigma|^{\frac{n}{2}}} |S_X|^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}} e^{-\text{tr}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}S_X\right)}, \quad S_X > 0, \Sigma = \Sigma' > 0,$$

where Σ is usually a nonsingular covariance matrix.

Let the $p \times n, n \geq p$, real random matrix Y of full rank p have the density

$$f_2(Y) = C_2 |YY'|^{\alpha+\beta} e^{-\text{tr}(BYY')} \quad \text{for } YY' > 0, B = B' > 0, \Re(\alpha + \beta) > -1. \quad (15)$$

Here $E_n[|S_Y|^b] = |B|^{-b} \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{n}{2} + b\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{n}{2}\right)}$, $b > -\frac{n}{2} + \frac{p-1}{2} - \alpha - \beta$ with $S_Y = YY'$.

Theorem 3. Let the real random matrices X and Y have densities as in (13) and (15) respectively. If $|S_Y|$ and $\frac{|S_X|}{|S_Y|}$ are independently distributed, then x_1 obtained from (6) with the specified set of parameters ($\alpha_1 = \alpha + \frac{n}{2}$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \dots = \alpha_p = \beta$, $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = \dots = \beta_p = -(\beta + \frac{1}{2})$) can be structurally represented as $\frac{|S_X|}{|S_Y|}$. Thus x_1 can be represented as the ratio of square of the volumes of two parallelotopes.

Proof. If $|S_Y|$ and $\frac{|S_X|}{|S_Y|}$ are independently distributed then we can write

$$|S_X| = |S_Y| \times \frac{|S_X|}{|S_Y|}$$

So b^{th} moment of $|S_X|$ is given by

$$E_n[|S_X|^b] = E_n[|S_Y|^b] \times E_n\left[\left[\frac{|S_X|}{|S_Y|}\right]^b\right] \quad (16)$$

due to independence. Therefore we can write it as

$$\begin{aligned} E_n\left[\frac{|S_X|}{|S_Y|}\right]^b &= \frac{E_n[|S_X|^b]}{E_n[|S_Y|^b]} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\alpha + \frac{n}{2} + b\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\alpha + \frac{n}{2}\right)} \times \frac{\Gamma_p\left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{n}{2} + b\right)} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2} + b\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2} + b\right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Consider (6) with p variables and let $\alpha_1 = \alpha + \frac{n}{2}$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \dots = \alpha_p = \beta$, $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = \dots = \beta_p = -(\beta + \frac{1}{2})$. Then from (9) we get

$$E(x_1^b) = \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2} + b) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2} + b)}. \quad (18)$$

Since (17) and (18) are the same we may conclude that x_1 with the specified set of parameters can be written as $\frac{|S_X|}{|S_Y|}$ if $|S_Y|$ and $\frac{|S_X|}{|S_Y|}$ are independently distributed.

From (17) we can get x_1 as the product of p independent real type-1 beta random variables. This type of structure appears in many situations such as distribution of random volume (see Mathai, 1999, 1999a, 2007), the distribution of the likelihood ratio test statistics when testing the hypotheses on the parameters in multivariate normal and other distributions, likelihood ratio criterion for testing hypotheses concerning multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) etc. The importance of this result is that we can study all the above structural representations, likelihood ratio tests, random volumes etc by studying x_1 . For example, consider a one-one function of the λ -criterion of the likelihood ratio test of the above mentioned hypotheses, denoted by μ . Let

$$\mu = \frac{|S_2|}{|S_1 + S_2|}$$

where S_1 and S_2 are independently distributed Wishart matrices with parameters (m, Σ) and (n, Σ) respectively, where m, n are degrees of freedoms and Σ is a symmetric positive definite matrix. It is known that Wishart density is a particular case of a real matrix-variate gamma density. So we may note that S_1 follows a matrix-variate gamma, that is, $S_1 \sim G_p\left(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}\right)$ and $S_2 \sim G_p\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}\right)$.

When S_1, S_2 are independently distributed then many general properties follow.

For example, $S_1 + S_2$ and $(S_1 + S_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_2 (S_1 + S_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are independently distributed, which means $|S_1 + S_2|$ and $\frac{|S_2|}{|S_1 + S_2|}$ are independently distributed. Therefore

$$E[|S_2|^b] = E[|S_1 + S_2|^b] E\left[\frac{|S_2|}{|S_1 + S_2|}\right]^b \quad (19)$$

$$E\left[\frac{|S_2|}{|S_1 + S_2|}\right]^b = \frac{E[|S_2|^b]}{E[|S_1 + S_2|^b]}. \quad (20)$$

It is noted that (19) has the same form of (16); the difference is that, in (19), the denominator $|S_Y|$ is represented as a sum.

4. STATISTICAL APPLICATIONS

In this section regression of x_k on x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} and Bayes' estimates are calculated, since these can be obtained explicitly. In order to simplify the calculations we use hypergeometric series. Some basic results and notations used in the derivations are the following:

(i) A hypergeometric series with p upper parameters and q lower parameters is defined as

$${}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; \tilde{x}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_r \dots (a_p)_r}{(b_1)_r \dots (b_q)_r} \frac{\tilde{x}^r}{r!} \quad (21)$$

where $(a_j)_r$ and $(b_j)_r$ are the Pochhammer symbols. For example, for a non-negative integer r ,

$$\begin{aligned} (a)_r &= (a)(a+1)\dots(a+r-1); \quad (a)_0 = 1, \quad a \neq 0, \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+r)}{\Gamma(a)}, \quad \text{when } \Gamma(a) \text{ is defined} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The series in (21) is defined when none of the b_j 's, $j=1, \dots, q$, is a negative integer or zero. Its convergence properties are available from books on special functions.

(ii) For $|\tilde{x}| < 1$

$$(1 - \tilde{x})^{-a} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r}{r!} \tilde{x}^r = {}_1F_0(a; ; \tilde{x}).$$

This is the binomial series.

4.1. Regression

Let x_1, \dots, x_k have the joint density as in (6). Then the joint density of (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) denoted by $f_4(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$ is given by

$$f_4(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = C_k^* x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots x_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}-1} (x_1 + x_2)^{\beta_2} \dots (x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1})^{\beta_{k-1}} \\ \times \int_{x_k=0}^{1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1}} x_k^{\alpha_k-1} (x_1 + \dots + x_k)^{\beta_k} (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_k)^{\alpha_{k+1}-1} dx_k \quad (23)$$

Now we can write

$$(x_1 + \dots + x_k)^{\beta_k} = (1 - (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_k))^{-\beta_k} \\ = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta_k)_r}{r!} (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_k)^r \quad \text{for } |1 - x_1 - \dots - x_k| < 1$$

Using this result and (21) we obtain

$$\int_{x_k=0}^{1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1}} x_k^{\alpha_k-1} (x_1 + \dots + x_k)^{\beta_k} (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_k)^{\alpha_{k+1}-1} dx_k \\ = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta_k)_r}{r!} \int_{x_k=0}^{1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1}} x_k^{\alpha_k-1} (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_k)^{\alpha_{k+1}+r-1} dx_k \\ = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta_k)_r}{r!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_k)\Gamma(\alpha_{k+1}+r)}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1} + r)} (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})^{\alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1} + r - 1} \\ = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_k)\Gamma(\alpha_{k+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1})} (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})^{\alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1} - 1} \\ \times {}_2F_1(-\beta_k, \alpha_{k+1}; \alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1}; (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})). \quad (24)$$

Substitute (24) in (23) then we get

$$f_4(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = C_k^* x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots x_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}-1} (x_1 + x_2)^{\beta_2} \dots (x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1})^{\beta_{k-1}} \\ \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_k)\Gamma(\alpha_{k+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1})} (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})^{\alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1} - 1} \\ \times {}_2F_1(-\beta_k, \alpha_{k+1}; \alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1}; (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})).$$

If the regression function of x_k on (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) is needed then that can be easily obtained.

$$\begin{aligned}
E(x_k | x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) &= \int_{x_k=0}^{1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1}} x_k f(x_k | x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) dx_k \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)\Gamma(\alpha_{k+1})} \times \frac{1}{(1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1})^{\alpha_k+\alpha_{k+1}-1}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{{}_2F_1(-\beta_k, \alpha_{k+1}; \alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1}; (1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1}))} \\
&\quad \times \int_{x_k=0}^{1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1}} x_k^{\alpha_k} (x_1 + \dots + x_k)^{\beta_k} \\
&\quad \times (1-x_1-\dots-x_k)^{\alpha_{k+1}-1} dx_k
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Here the integration procedure is the same as above. Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E(x_k | x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) &= \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1}} (1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1}) \\
&\quad \times \frac{{}_2F_1(-\beta_k, \alpha_{k+1}; \alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1} + 1; 1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1})}{{}_2F_1(-\beta_k, \alpha_{k+1}; \alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1}; 1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Hence the best predictor of x_k at preassigned values of x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} is given in (26).

4.4. Bayesian analysis

Dirichlet distribution is usually used as the prior distribution for multinomial probabilities. Let (x_1, \dots, x_k) follow multinomial distribution with probability mass function

$$f_5(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_k!} \theta_1^{x_1} \dots \theta_k^{x_k} \tag{27}$$

for $\theta_i \geq 0$, $x_i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, such that $x_1 + \dots + x_k = n$ and $\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_k = 1$.

Let the prior distribution of $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$ be a generalized Dirichlet density in (6). Then the posterior density is given by the formula

$$h(\underline{\theta} | \underline{x}) = \frac{f_3(\underline{\theta}) f_5(\underline{x} | \underline{\theta})}{\int_{\theta_1} \dots \int_{\theta_k} f_3(\underline{\theta}) f_5(\underline{x} | \underline{\theta}) d\theta_k \dots d\theta_1}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\theta_1} \dots \int_{\theta_k} f_3(\underline{\theta}) f_5(\underline{x} | \underline{\theta}) d\theta_k \dots d\theta_1 \\
&= C_k^* \frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_k!} \int_{\theta_1=0}^1 \dots \int_{\theta_k=0}^{1-\theta_1-\dots-\theta_{k-1}} \theta_1^{\alpha_1+x_1-1} \dots \theta_k^{\alpha_k+x_k-1} \\
&\quad \times (\theta_1 + \theta_2)^{\beta_2} \dots (\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_k)^{\beta_k} \\
&\quad \times (1 - \theta_1 - \dots - \theta_k)^{\alpha_{k+1}-1} d\theta_k \dots d\theta_1 \\
&= C_k^* \frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_k!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + x_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_k + x_k) \Gamma(\alpha_{k+1})}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x_1 + x_2)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x_1 + x_2 + \beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \beta_2)} \dots \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\underline{\theta} | \underline{x}) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x_1 + x_2) \Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \beta_2) \dots}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + x_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_k + x_k) \Gamma(\alpha_{k+1})} \dots \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)} \theta_1^{\alpha_1+x_1-1} \dots \quad (28) \\
&\quad \times \theta_k^{\alpha_k+x_k-1} (\theta_1 + \theta_2)^{\beta_2} \dots (\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_k)^{\beta_k} (1 - \theta_1 - \dots - \theta_k)^{\alpha_{k+1}-1},
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_k < 1$, $\alpha_i + x_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $\alpha_{k+1} > 0$, $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j + x_1 + \dots + x_j + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.

If we replace $\alpha_j + x_j$ by γ_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$, then it can be seen that the posterior density (28) has the form of generalized Dirichlet density (6) with different parameters. Therefore we can say that generalized Dirichlet density in (6) is conjugate to multinomial density.

Now the Bayes' estimate for θ_1 , with quadratic loss, is

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\theta_1 | \underline{x}) &= \int_{\theta_1=0}^1 \dots \int_{\theta_k=0}^{1-\theta_1-\dots-\theta_{k-1}} \theta_1 b(\underline{\theta} | \underline{x}) d\theta_k \dots d\theta_1 \\
&= \frac{(\alpha_1 + x_1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + x_1 + x_2 + \beta_2)}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x_1 + x_2)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \beta_2)} \dots \\
&\quad \times \frac{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)}{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)}
\end{aligned}$$

Bayes' estimate for θ_2 is

$$E(\theta_2|x) = \frac{(\alpha_2 + x_2)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + x_1 + x_2 + \beta_2)}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x_1 + x_2)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \beta_2)} \dots \\ \times \frac{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)}{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)},$$

and so on, and finally Bayes' estimate for θ_k is

$$E(\theta_k|x) = \frac{(\alpha_k + x_k)}{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{k-1})} \\ \times \frac{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)}{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} + x_1 + \dots + x_k + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k)}.$$

Centre for Mathematical Sciences Pala Campus
Arunapuram P.O., Palai, Kerala 686 574, India

E.V. MAYAMOL

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi, for the financial assistance for this work under project number SR/S4/MS:287/05 and the Centre for Mathematical Sciences for providing all facilities.

REFERENCES

- R.J. CONNOR, J.E. MOSIMANN (1969), *Concept of independence for proportions with a generalization of the Dirichlet distribution*, "Journal of American Statistical Association", 64, pp. 194-206.
- G.J. GOODHARDT, A.S.C. EHRENBERG, C. CHATFIELD (1989), *The Dirichlet: A comprehensive model of buying behavior (with discussion)*, "Journal of Royal Statistical Society", Series A, 147, pp. 621-655.
- H. I ISHWARAN, L. F. JAMES (2001), *Gibbs sampling methods for strick-breaking priors*, "Journal of American Statistical Association", 96, pp. 161-173.
- N. L. JOHNSON (1960), *An approximation to the multinomial distribution: Some properties and applications*, "Biometrika", 47, pp. 93-102.
- K. LANGE (1995), *Application of the Dirichlet distribution to forensic match probabilities*, "Genetica", 96, pp. 107-117.
- A. M. MATHAI (2003), *Order statistics from a logistic distribution and applications to survival & reliability analysis*, "IEEE Transactions on Reliability", 52(2), pp. 200-206.
- A. M. MATHAI (1999), *An Introduction to Geometrical Probability Distributional Aspects with applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam.
- A. M. MATHAI (1997), *Jacobians of Matrix transformations and Functions of Matrix Argument*, World Scientific Publishing, New York.
- A. M. MATHAI (1999a), *Random p-content of a p-parallelootope in Euclidean n-space*, "Advances in Applied Probability", 31(2), pp. 343-354.

- A. M. MATHAI (2007), *Random volumes under a general matrix-variate model*, "Linear Algebra and Its Application", 425, pp. 162-170.
- J. E. MOSIMANN (1962), *On the compound multinomial distribution, the multivariate β - distribution and correlation among proportions*, "Biometrika", 49, pp. 65-82.
- J. E. MOSIMANN (1963), *On the compound negative multinomial distribution and correlation among inversely sampled pollen counts*, "Biometrika", 50, pp. 47-54.
- S. B. PROVOST, Y. H. CHEONG (2000), *On the distribution of linear combination of the components of a Dirichlet random vector*, "The Canadian Journal of Statistics", 28, pp. 417-425.
- M. SOBEL, V. R. RUPPULURI (1974), *Sparse and crowded cells and Dirichlet distribution*, "Annals of Statistics", 2, pp. 977-987.
- D. J. SPIEGELHALTER, N. L. HARRIS, K. BULL, R.C.G. FRANKLIN (1994), *Empirical evaluation of prior beliefs about frequencies-Methodology and a case study in congenital heart disease*, "Journal of the American Statistical Association", 89, pp. 435-443.
- S. THOMAS, S. GEORGE (2004), *A Review of Dirichlet distribution and its generalizations*, "Journal of the Indian Society for Probability and Statistics", 8, pp. 72-91.
- S. THOMAS, A. THANNIPPARA (2008), *Distribution of λ criterion for sphericity test and its connection to a generalized Dirichlet model*, "Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation", 37(7), pp. 1385-1395.
- S. S. WILKS (1962), *Mathematical Statistics*, Wiley, New York.
- T.-T. WONG (1998), *Generalized Dirichlet distribution in Bayesian analysis*, "Applied Mathematics and Computation", 97, pp. 165-181.

SUMMARY

Some properties of a generalized type-1 Dirichlet distribution

This paper deals with a generalization of type-1 Dirichlet density by incorporating partial sums of the component variables. We study various proportions, structural decompositions, connections to random volumes and p -parallelotopes. We will also look into the regression function of x_k on x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} , Bayes' estimates for the probabilities of a multinomial distribution by using this generalized Dirichlet model as the prior density are given. Other results illustrate the importance of the study of variable x_1 in this model. It is found that the variable x_1 in this model can be represented as the ratio of squares of volumes of two parallelotopes. Under certain conditions, x_1 can be used to study the structural representations of the likelihood ratio criteria in MANOVA, MANCOVA etc.